



MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY MEETING HELD ON 31 JULY 2019

PRESENT:

Mayor Wendy Waller
Councillor Ayyad
Councillor Balloot
Councillor Hadchiti
Councillor Hadid
Councillor Hagarty
Councillor Harle
Councillor Kaliyanda
Councillor Karnib
Councillor Rhodes
Councillor Shelton (arrived at 6.14pm)
Ms Kiersten Fishburn, Chief Executive Officer
Mr Tim Moore, Director City Economy and Growth / Deputy CEO
Mr Chris White, Director City Corporate
Ms Tina Sangiuliano, Acting Director City Community and Culture
Dr Eddie Jackson, Director City Deal
Mr Peter Patterson, Director City Presentation
Mr Raj Autar, Director City Infrastructure and Environment
Mr John Milicic, Manager, Property
Mr Michael Knight, Acting General Counsel, Manager Governance Legal
Mr David Smith, Manager Planning & Transport Strategy
Mr Andrew Stevenson, Manager Communications
Mr David Maguire, Internal Ombudsman
Mr David Petrie, Manager City Design and Public Domain,
Mr George Georgakis, Manager Council and Executive Services
Ms Susan Ranieri, Coordinator Council and Executive Services (minutes)

The meeting commenced at 6:01pm.

Clr Rhodes declared a non-pecuniary, less than significant interest in the following item:

NOM 05: A Prominent Permanent Home for the City of Liverpool and District Historical Society.

Reason: Councillor Rhodes was a prior member (she is unsure if she is still a current member) of the City of Liverpool and District Historical Society.

Clr Rhodes will remain in the Chambers for the duration of this item.

Clr Shelton declared a non-pecuniary, less than significant interest in the following item:

NOM 05: A Prominent Permanent Home for the City of Liverpool and District Historical Society.

Reason: Councillor Shelton is an ordinary member, who holds no office, of the Liverpool and District Historical Society.

Clr Shelton left the Chambers for the duration of this item.

Clr Harle declared a non-pecuniary, less than significant interest in the following item:

EGROW 04: Planning proposal to rezone land at 240 Governor Macquarie Drive, Warwick Farm.

Reason: Councillor Harle has family members that live in the area.

Clr Harle remained in the Chambers for the duration of this item.

Clr Hadchiti declared a non-pecuniary, less than significant interest in the following item:

NOM 03: Infrastructure Funding Priorities.

Reason: Councillor Hadchiti sits on a State Panel that assesses grant applications.

Clr Hadchiti left the Chambers for the duration of this item.

7. **Mr James Matthews** addressed Council on the following item:

NOMR 01 - Rescission of EGROW 04 - Middleton Grange Town Centre Planning Proposal Planning – Post Exhibition report from the Council meeting of 12 December 2018.

Motion:

Moved: Cllr Karnib

Seconded: Cllr Rhodes

That a three minute extension of time be given to the speaker.

On being put to the meeting the motion was declared CARRIED.

MAYORAL MINUTES

Nil.

NOTICES OF MOTION OF RESCISSION

ITEM NO: NOMR 01
FILE NO: 182661.2019
SUBJECT: Rescission of EGROW 04 - Middleton Grange Town Centre Planning Proposal – Post exhibition report from the Council Meeting of 12 December 2018

NOTICE OF MOTION OF RESCISSION

We the undersigned move a rescission motion to rescind item EGROW 04 Middleton Grange Town Centre Planning Proposal – Post exhibition report (as shown below) that was passed at the ordinary Council meeting held on Wednesday 12 December 2018.

“That Council:

1. *Notes the gateway determination issued by the Department of Planning & Environment;*
2. *Notes the submissions received during the public exhibition of the planning proposal, including public agency comments;*
3. *Notes that significant infrastructure upgrades will likely be required to support the planning proposal, including regional road upgrades;*
4. *Notes that no funding mechanism for infrastructure and public benefits has been advanced by the proponent to date;*
5. *Notes the issues identified in the assessment report in relation to the proposed built form, environmental impacts and density and the area not serviced with regular and reliable public transport services;*
6. *Withdraws support for the planning proposal pursuant to Section 3.35 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;*
7. *Writes to the Minister of Planning and the Greater Sydney Commission to request that the planning proposal not proceed pursuant to Section 3.35(4) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;*
8. *Writes to the proponent and all those who made a public submission to advise of Council’s decision;*
9. *Notes that the current zoning and land use controls enable the development of a*

local shopping centre for the Middleton Grange community which is consistent with the Liverpool Retail Centres Strategy; and

10. *Work with the applicant to explore the option of some form of temporary retail on the site.”*

And moves the following motion:

That:

1. Council notes that no exploration of some form of temporary retail on the site has occurred in accordance with Council’s resolution of 12 December 2018.
2. Council notes the Council and the Community support the development of a town centre at the Middleton Grange site and acknowledges that the process for the development of the town centre in that location has been years in the making.
3. Council acknowledges the urgent need for the commencement of roads and civil infrastructure within the town centre at Middleton Grange to begin the process of activating that area.
4. Council notes the Fifteenth Avenue smart transit corridor connecting Liverpool and Badgerys Creek via Middleton Grange with public transport as contained in the draft Liverpool local strategic planning statement.
5. Council notes that exhibition of the Planning Proposal included a Draft DCP to facilitate the provision of roads, civil infrastructure and open space that matches the subdivision approval granted by Council in 2015.
6. Council endorses the Middleton Grange DCP as exhibited in 2018 (which included amendments) to facilitate the immediate delivery of civil infrastructure and roads infrastructure for the town centre at Middleton Grange.
7. Council notes the State Significant Development application for Hospital and Medical Centre at lot 2 and provides in principle support for the provision of these services and ancillary roads infrastructure in the Middleton Grange Town Centre.
8. Council notes the community opposition to the Planning Proposal as exhibited and the issues raised regarding proposed building heights and the number of residential apartments.
9. Council notes that the Planning Proposal is with the Minister for Planning for finalisation.
10. Council now supports the planning proposal if the following changes to that which was exhibited are made to it:

Mayor Waller called a recess at 7.39pm.

Mayor Waller resumed the meeting at 7.49pm with all Councillors present except Cirs Hadchiti, Hadid, Rhodes and Shelton.

Cirs Hadchiti, Hadid, Rhodes and Shelton returned at 7.50pm.

CITY ECONOMY AND GROWTH REPORT

ITEM NO: EGROW 01

FILE NO: 120970.2019

SUBJECT: Draft Liverpool Pioneers' Memorial Park Conservation Management Plan

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. Place the Draft Liverpool Pioneers' Memorial Park Conservation Management Plan on exhibition for a period of 28 days; and
2. Delegates to the CEO the finalisation of the Draft Liverpool Pioneers' Memorial Park Conservation Management Plan if no submissions are received; or receive a further report outlining details of the submissions received at the conclusion of the exhibition period.

COUNCIL DECISION

Motion:

Moved: Cllr Ayyad

Seconded: Cllr Shelton

That the recommendation be adopted.

On being put to the meeting the motion was declared CARRIED.

ITEM NO: EGROW 04
FILE NO: 158005.2019
SUBJECT: Planning proposal to rezone land at 240 Governor Macquarie Drive, Warwick Farm

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. Note the advice provided by the *Liverpool Local Planning Panel*;
2. Endorses in principle the planning proposal for 240 Governor Macquarie Drive, Warwick Farm, subject to the applicant submitting a modified proposal with a maximum floor space ratio (FSR) of 2:1 equating to approximately 58,600m² GFA or 500 dwellings with access to the bonus FSR provisions contained within Clause 4.4 (2B) of the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan (LEP) (up to 3:1), a maximum height of buildings of 45m and the preparation of a site specific Development Control Plan;
3. Delegate to the CEO authority to negotiate a revised VPA offer with the proponent, agree the terms of the offer with the proponent and report back to Council the details of the VPA prior to exhibition of the planning proposal, consistent with Council's Planning Agreements Policy;
4. Endorses in principle the potential public benefit contributions of the VPA, to be further negotiated, include:
 - Monetary contributions towards the cost of local road improvements;
 - Funding appropriate road works including a 2.5m wide shared path, public domain improvements including street trees and landscaping along Governor Macquarie Drive between Hume Highway and Munday Street in accordance with Council's design plan for the Hume Highway/Governor Macquarie Drive intersection upgrade and Warwick Street between the Hume Highway and Manning Street;
 - Improved pedestrian and bicycle access to and from the site and Warwick Farm railway station and north and south of the Hume Highway;
 - Provision of 5% of the dwelling yield as affordable housing (to be dedicated to Council) to be managed as affordable rental housing by a community housing provider; and
 - Providing a retail/commercial space in the development for a period of 5 years at a reduced commercial rent for a community organisation/s to be agreed between the proponent and Council; and

Vote against: Clr Ayyad
Clr Hadid*
Clr Karnib
Clr Shelton

**Clr Hadid did not vote for or against the motion. Therefore, in accordance with Clause 10.4 of Council's Code of Meeting Practice (as shown below), he is recorded as voting against the motion:*

"A Councillor who is present at a meeting of Council but who fails to vote on a motion put to the meeting is taken to have voted against the motion."

Clr Ayyad returned to the Chambers at 8.47pm.

QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE

ITEM NO: QWN 01

FILE NO: 145000.2019

SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Clr Hagarty - Buses in New Release Suburbs

Liverpool's new release areas are poorly serviced by public transport. Suburbs such as Middleton Grange, Elizabeth Hills and Len Waters Estate are barely serviced by single, infrequent routes with limited stops.

The on-demand bus service in Edmondson Park also has issues. According to figures from Transport for NSW, the average cost per passenger of operating on-demand services across all the trial areas is \$34.56 per trip. The near by and now abandoned Wetherill Park trial cost taxpayers \$940 per passenger. The buses must be booked online, by phone or via an app. This disadvantages commuters who have digital literacy and access issues as well as people from CALD backgrounds.

Better public transport, including bus services, means more cars off the road, less pressure on commuter car parking and reduced greenhouse gas emissions. Research has also shown that riding a bus is 90 times safer than car travel.

With more housing developments coming in suburbs such as Austral, Liverpool needs better existing and future public transport including buses.

Please address the following:

1. Are additional, more frequent bus services planned for Liverpool? Specifically new release suburbs and estates including Parkbridge Estate?
2. Are additional on-demand bus services planned for Liverpool?
3. What is the cost per passenger of the Edmondson Park on-demand bus service?
4. What measures are in place to ensure the on-demand bus service is inclusive and accessible?

Response

The State government funds the provision of public passenger bus services and the services are administered by Transport for NSW (TfNSW). In the Liverpool Local Government Area, Transport for NSW has contracts for the provision of bus services with the following three local bus companies: Interline Bus Services, Transit Systems and Transdev.

Interline and Transit Systems provide bus services in the new release areas of Middleton Grange, Edmondson Park, Austral and Leppington.

Are additional, more frequent bus services planned for Liverpool? Specifically new release suburbs and estates including Parkbridge Estate?

Transport for NSW assess public transport demand in new release areas and periodically approves extension of existing bus services to service new release areas subject to State government funding allocation. As an example, Interline Bus Services currently provides services to the southern portion of Middleton Grange. However services are yet to be extended to the northern portion including Parkbridge Estate.

Transport for NSW have advised that the service has not been extended because funding is yet to be allocated for this service. In the meantime this year's State budget includes a commitment for 14,000 extra weekly bus services across Sydney, Illawarra, Central Coast and the Lower Hunter. This commitment includes more than 1000 additional weekly services for routes in South West Sydney including 400 services for route 895 from Carnes Hill to Edmondson Park.

Council has been making and will continue to make representations for bus services to be extended to new release areas including Parkbridge Estate.

Are additional on-demand bus services planned for Liverpool?

The only current on demand bus service in Liverpool is the service in Edmondson Park. This service was introduced in part to reduce car parking demand at Edmondson Park train station.

Council has discussed with Transport for NSW (TfNSW) whether they have plans for additional on demand bus services in the Liverpool Local Government Area (LGA). To date TfNSW has advised that there are no plans for additional on demand services to be provided in the Liverpool LGA.

What is the cost per passenger of the Edmondson Park on-demand bus service?

According to Interline Bus Services website, the cost per passenger for a full fare is \$2.60 whilst the Concession is \$1.30.

An On Demand travel credit trial is now underway. For passengers using this service at Edmondson Park, a transfer credit of up to \$2 applies every time a passenger transfers (within 60 minutes) from an Interline bus to an Opal bus or train service. Passengers need to sign up for an On Demand travel credit account to link an Opal card and Interline app account. Further details can be found on TfNSW website

ITEM NO: QWN 02
FILE NO: 145004.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Clr Hagarty - Oversized Load Carrying Vehicles

I have received numerous complaints from residents in new release suburbs about oversized load carrying vehicle movements in the early morning. These vehicles are used to transport other vehicles used in the construction of new housing developments.

Because of their size and speed the RMS restricts their movement to not impede the peak hour traffic. However, this means residents are burdened with significant amounts of sound and light pollution at all hours of the night.

Surely a common sense solution can be found to lessen the impact on residents.

Please address the following:

Q1 What is the time window imposed by the RMS for transporting an oversized load carrying vehicle?

Response

To operate on the public road network, oversized vehicles require permits issued by the National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) or the Roads and Maritime Services (RMS). To minimise traffic impacts, the NHVR or RMS requires oversized vehicle operators to use the road network outside peak traffic periods.

Where the NHVR or the RMS considers that proposed haulage routes could have an impact on local roads, the agencies may request Council's input as part of their approvals. However, both organisations can issue a permit without consultation with Council and with no time restrictions such as early morning or late at night.

In circumstances where Council is afforded the opportunity to comment on a permit application, Council would recommend to the RMS or NHVR specific haulage routes or times e.g. prohibit the use of Nuwarra Road as a haulage route during school pickup/drop off times to minimise traffic impacts and maintain amenity.

Q2 Does Council also impose time restrictions?

Response

If an application is referred to Council, our advice includes comments on access arrangements and haulage times to minimise traffic and amenity impacts.

ITEM NO: QWN 04
FILE NO: 180499.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Cllr Hagarty - Upkeep of Vacant Lots

Introduction

The push for densification has resulted in the demolition of older dwellings and resulted in vacant lots. The recent drop in house prices has meant these sites have stayed demolished and vacant longer.

Too often the sites are riddled with overgrown grass, feral cats and other pests to the detriment of the neighbouring community.

Please address the following:

1. What power does Council have to ensure the reasonable upkeep of vacant lots?

A response to these questions will be provided in the 28 August 2019 Council meeting business papers.

ITEM NO: QWN 05
FILE NO: 180510.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Cllr Hagarty - Community Wealth Building

Introduction

When a purchase is made at a local business, that money is likely to stay in Liverpool longer. It is more often spent on local services and at local stores, restaurants and cafes. This multiplier effect results in more local businesses and more local jobs.

As such, Council should do its utmost to spend as much as is practical on local businesses. We should also encourage other large employers and institutions, such as the hospital, the Universities, clubs etc., to do the same.

Please address the following:

1. What legislative impediments are there preventing Council and other large employers to preference local businesses, particularly social enterprises, the awarding of contracts? e.g. cleaning and catering?

A response to these questions will be provided in the 28 August 2019 Council meeting business papers.

ITEM NO: QWN 06
FILE NO: 180732.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Cllr Ayyad - Middleton Grange Town Centre

At Council's December 2018 meeting, a motion regarding Middleton Grange Town Centre was resolved by Council. Point 10 of that motion states:

10. Work with the applicant to explore the option of some form of temporary retail on the site.

Please address the following:

1. Who from Council is responsible to implement this part of the motion?
2. When was the applicant contacted about this? (Please provide us with copies of correspondence relating to this)
3. What is the progress of getting temporary retail on site as Councillor Hagarty moved in his motion noting that it has been 7 months since Council resolved this motion?
4. Has the applicant lodged any amended plans for the site with any government body?

A response to these questions will be incorporated into the report to come back to Council in September 2019 (as part of the resolution of NOMR 01 of these minutes).

ITEM NO: QWN 07
FILE NO: 180923.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Cllr Hadchiti - Middleton Grange Town Centre

Please address the following:

In relation to the Middleton Grange Town Centre proposal that was before Council in the December 2018 meeting:

1. What action has Council taken to satisfy point 10 of the recommendation which reads 'Work with the applicant to explore the option of some form of temporary retail on site'?
2. The proposal included a Middleton Grange DCP. Were there merits to that DCP as proposed?

A response to these questions will be incorporated into the report to come back to Council in September 2019 (as part of the resolution of NOMR 01 of these minutes).

ITEM NO: QWN 08
FILE NO: 181034.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Clr Rhodes - Tennis Petition

Please address the following:

1. What action has Council taken to address the Petition against the removal of the Tennis Courts in Phillip Park?
2. Why were the constituents who applied to speak at Council at both the May and June Meetings refused their opportunity to address Council and hand in their petition?
3. Has Council refused to permit constituents from addressing Council before and if so why were they refused?

A response to these questions will be provided in the 28 August 2019 Council meeting business papers.

ITEM NO: QWN 09
FILE NO: 181101.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Cllr Rhodes - Visitation Survey

Council recently engaged a consultant to prepare a report addressing the current visitation figures in the Liverpool LGA. The Survey was proposed at the Tourism and CBD Committee so that Liverpool would have a base understanding of the current market and also provide Liverpool Council the opportunity to substantiate the number of international tourists staying in Liverpool at our hotels as the figures included in the Liverpool Council Destination Plan (TBC).

The report when presented to the Tourism and CBD Committee was missing the information needed to substantiate the international visitation figures reported by Liverpool hotels and already included in the Liverpool Council Destination Plan.

Please address the following:

1. Have the consultants been instructed to contact all the hotels in the Liverpool LGA to substantiate the International visitation figures quoted in the Destination Management Plan?
2. Have the consultants revised the report to include the International Visitation figures for Liverpool?
3. Will the revised report be made available to Councillors?

A response to these questions will be provided in the 28 August 2019 Council meeting business papers.

ITEM NO: QWN 10
FILE NO: 181119.2019
SUBJECT: Question with Notice - Cllr Rhodes - Liverpool Collaboration Area

“Liverpool City Council is identified in the Collaboration Area Place Strategy as the primary stakeholder in addressing the action of increasing above the targets set out in a Metropolis of Three Cities to improve and increase social and affordable housing”.

Please address the following:

1. What is the definition of Primary stakeholder in the above context?
2. What are the Targets set out in the Metropolis of Three Cities for:
 - a. Social Housing?
 - b. Affordable Housing?
3. How much is Liverpool as the Primary Stakeholder Increasing above these Targets for:
 - a. Social Housing
 - b. Affordable Housing?
4. Does this mean that Liverpool will be providing more social and or affordable Housing than other Western Sydney Cities?

A response to these questions will be provided in the 28 August 2019 Council meeting business papers.

On being put to the meeting the motion (moved by Clr Hagarty) was declared LOST.

The Foreshadowed motion (moved by Clr Hadchiti) then became the motion and on being put to the meeting was declared CARRIED.

Division called (for the motion moved by Clr Hagarty):

Vote for: Mayor Waller
Clr Hagarty
Clr Kaliyanda
Clr Karnib
Clr Shelton

Vote against: Clr Ayyad
Clr Balloot
Clr Hadchiti
Clr Hadid
Clr Harle
Clr Rhodes

ITEM NO: NOM 02
FILE NO: 180785.2019
SUBJECT: Proactive Building Inspections

Background

The building industry is currently in crisis:

- The Opal building, Mascot towers, a Zetland apartment complex and the Sugar cube development in Erskineville have forced hundreds to abandon their homes.
- More than 600 buildings in NSW are at risk from flammable cladding, including 23 in Liverpool.
- Private certifiers are issuing occupation certificates for non-compliant buildings in a rush to beat State Government reforms.

All the while, the State Government has expanded compliant development while steadily stripping Councillors of their powers.

The current process at Council is too reactive and reliant on complaints from neighbours. Many issues are only apparent once a development is complete and dwellings occupied. As a result, residents feel powerless and that the system is stacked against them in favour of developers.

A proactive team of compliance officers directed to randomly inspect a range of sites under construction would uncover issues before they became 'too hard' to fix and restore confidence in the process.

We can finger point and wait for the State and Federal Governments to reform the sector or we can act ourselves.

NOTICE OF MOTION (Submitted by Councillor Hagarty)

That Council direct the CEO to:

- Establish a proactive building inspection and compliance program for sites under construction; and
- Provide a regular report to Council on the program.

ITEM NO: NOM 03
FILE NO: 180699.2019
SUBJECT: Infrastructure Funding Priorities

Background

The Prime Minister has recently brought up the prospect of working with other levels of government to bring forward 'shovel ready' projects as a way of stimulating the economy.

Liverpool is almost doubling in size and requires a significant and constant infrastructure investment by all levels of government.

We as a Council should lead the way for our residents by providing the means and processes required to enable the maximum amount of Federal and State Government grants. This motion calls for that.

NOTICE OF MOTION (Submitted by Councillor Ayyad)

That Council:

1. Prepare a list of 'shovel ready' projects to the next council meeting that we can endorse and send to our local members and other levels of government at the next council meeting;
2. Seek feedback from Councillors about what projects they would like to have put on the list that that are currently not shovel ready;
3. The CEO write to local community groups, schools (private and government), NGO's and other groups within the Liverpool area to enquire about what grants they are seeking from other levels of government and what council can do to assist with them;
4. Have an official policy that acknowledges that grants can be time sensitive and therefore will be treated as a priority from Council's point of view with regards to assessing development applications or any other statutory approvals. This policy applies to any project that the applicant can demonstrate will be the recipient of government funding (part or full funding); and
5. Implement this motion immediately and direct the CEO to apply all parts of this motion immediately.

Clr Hadchiti declared a declared a non-pecuniary, less than significant interest during discussion of this item.

Clr Hadchiti left the Chambers at 9.24 pm.

Following this process, Council will further review other Council owned or controlled land which may provide repurposing opportunities for affordable housing. The EOI process and negotiation will enhance the Council's understanding of future site selection and required development considerations. This will be reported to Council as per the proposed Notice of Motion; depending on the outcome of the EOI this may be later than December 2019.

It will be suggested that Council staff develop suitable selection criteria to determine potential site suitability, such as:

1. The land being surplus Council land and currently under-utilised
2. The land being designated 'operational land'
3. The land being within 400 meters of transport hubs
4. The land being of suitable proximity to amenities
5. The land/ site is not part of Council's short-term Delivery Program of works
6. There site is not earmarked for any specific strategic or delivery program for the long-term
7. The land has economic potential which, with affordable housing development, assist Council to realise the delivery of other infrastructure needs
8. The land is designated 'community land' but there is a strong business case to rezone and reclassify a portion of the land to provide affordable/ community housing.

Clr Hadchiti returned to the Chambers at 9.34 pm.

Clr Shelton, Clr Hagarty, Clr Kaliyanda and Clr Ayyad left the Chambers at 9.34 pm.

Clr Ayyad returned to the Chambers at 9.37pm.

ITEM NO: NOM 05

FILE NO: 181077.2019

SUBJECT: A Prominent Permanent Home for the City of Liverpool and District Historical Society

Background

The City of Liverpool and District Historical Society was established in 1959 by a small group of residents who were from old Liverpool families such as Fitzpatrick, Collimore, Rowe, Kelly, Hill and Havard.

They were proud of Liverpool's history and were committed to preserving and promoting its unique story. Their first meeting was held at the old Liverpool Town Hall on Moore Street in 1959 and was presided over by the then Mayor Ron Dunbier who was also a member. Since then, the society has lead a nomadic existence in its search to find a home.

From the old Liverpool Hospital/TAFE to the now demolished Colonial Hall to the Old Court House to our once home at the Bi-centennial Museum. In the fourth oldest town in Australia, The Liverpool City of Liverpool and District Historical Society is still looking for an appropriate heritage place to call a permanent home.

Their collection is currently stored in 'Eber's Bunker' under Liverpool Library.

The Society needs a home to be able to proudly, permanently, publicly, display approximately 10,000 items for residents and visitors to Liverpool to enjoy, learn and understand our rich and unique history.

It is interesting if you look at the provisions made for some of Liverpool's other great clubs and associations such as;

The Barefoot Waterski Club, was formed in 1960 and has a permanent home in Liverpool Helles park.

The New South Wales Radio Controlled Racing Car Club Inc established in the 1980's they have had a permanent home in Liverpool since the mid 1990's.

The Liverpool District Men's Shed has a permanent home provided for them in Liverpool.

The Liverpool City Brass Band, was formed in 1883, ceased during WWI and was reformed in 1924, it was given a Liverpool permanent home in 1962.

The City of Liverpool and District Historical Society was established in 1959 and yet Liverpool has been unable to find them a permanent home in 60 years.

Liverpool prides itself on the fact that we are the 4th oldest founded city in Australia.

This fact alone makes Liverpool's history unique.

Liverpool is fortunate to have the members of the Historical Society who have collected and maintained over 10,000 historic items for over 60 years.

It is time for Liverpool Council to recognise and utilise this Liverpool asset. How lucky Liverpool is to have the individuals who have done the work, who have dedicated their time to preserving Liverpool's history.

It is in as much Liverpool's best interest as the Historical Society for Council to provide them with a permanent prominent home from which they can publicly, permanently display with pride Liverpool's unique history.

Liverpool's history is one of Liverpool's greatest assets.

Liverpool Council's investment in Liverpool's History by providing the Society a permanent home will reward Liverpool by increasing the visitation economy which will support and attract more business which will contribute to the vitality of Liverpool.

By promoting Liverpool's History you will help to educate people on, who we are, how we got here, where we have come from and who were the original Australians.

It is the "Australian story" that with the new airport will become increasingly more important.

Council needs to value the assets that will enable Liverpool to tell that story.

One needs only to visit our neighbours at Camden, Campbelltown and Nepean Historic Society Museums to see what their Councils do and how they have maximised all opportunities their historic assets have to offer.

The provision of a permanent prominent home for the City of Liverpool and District Historical Society will benefit Liverpool's visitation economy. It will help to promote Liverpool as a destination. It will add Vitality to Liverpool. It will help to distinguish Liverpool from other Western Sydney Cities. It will benefit Liverpool in a way that is not possible by any other Liverpool Club and or organisation.

I move the Councillors to support this motion to find a permanent and prominent home from which Liverpool can proudly publicly present our Liverpool history.

THE MEETING CLOSED AT 10.48pm.

<Signature>

Name: Wendy Waller

Title: Mayor

Date: 28 August 2019

I have authorised a stamp bearing my signature to be affixed to the pages of the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 31 July 2019. I confirm that Council has adopted these Minutes as a true and accurate record of the meeting.